

Value for Money: A review of partnership projects

The Community Safety Partnership (CSP) has been working with the Council's Overview and Scrutiny Committee to ensure that we are delivering value for money and in line with the guidance provided by the Audit Commission with regard to

You have been sent this survey as you received funding from the Thurrock Community Safety Partnership in 2011/12. You should refer to your funding bid, sent with this questionnaire to help you complete it. The members of the overview and scrutiny committee have requested that you complete this as honestly and with as much information as you can and return it to micunningham@thurrock.gov.uk by **31st May 2012**:

Section 1: YOUR PROJECT			
1. Name of Project:		2. Project Lead:	
3. Amount allocated: £		4. Amount Spent: £	
5. Which council priorities did your project contribute to?			
6. Which CSP priorities did your project contribute to?			
7. What were the projects planned outcomes?			
8. What were the delivered outcomes? What was the benefit for Thurrock residents?			
9. Performance measures:			
Measure	Target	Actual	Reason not achieved
10. Next steps, what recommendations have you made with your project?			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I. Stop project as has delivered II. Stop project due to non delivery III. Continue with the project through mainstreaming IV. Seek further funding to continue with project 			

Section 2: DELIVERING VALUE FOR MONEY
1. How were you challenged by the CSP to deliver value for money?
2. Were you aware of any risks to this project and were they shared? If they weren't shared why not?
3. How were you clear how your project contributed to the partnership plan?
4. Do you feel there is an effective approach to change management? How? Why?
5. Does the structure of the CSP enable you to deliver on your priorities and identify savings? How?
6. How do you work in collaboration with other agencies to help identify savings?
7. Is there an effective use of shared resources to deliver your objectives?
8. How was your project effectively monitored?
9. Is your project work sustainable with current efficiency savings?